

# Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

			_		
Bill #	SB0150		Title: Revis	e USB laws	
Primary Sponsor: Windy Boy, Jonathan			Status: As In	troduced	
			,		
☐ Significant l	Local Gov Impact	☐ Needs to be inclu	ided in HB 2	▼ Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget	☐ Significant Long-	Геrm Impacts	■ Dedicated Revenue F	Form Attached
		FISCAL	SUMMARY		
		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
		Difference	Difference	Difference	<u>Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>					
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other		\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221
Revenue:					
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other		\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221
Net Impact-Gen	eral Fund Ralance	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del></del>

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> SB 150 increases a public utility's minimum annual funding requirement for low-income energy and weatherization assistance from 17% to 50% of the public utility's annual electric universal system benefits USB) level. If donated to the department, it would allow for weatherization of approximately 121 low-income homes.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **Assumptions:**

## **Department of Public Health and Human Services**

- 1. NorthWestern Energy is the only utility affected by this bill as Montana Dakota Utilities already exceeds the percentages for low-income weatherization and energy assistance as increased.
- 2. The utility company has discretion to direct USB funds to eligible activities. NorthWestern Energy currently provides 40.9% of electric USB funds for low-income activities. Passage of the bill will result in a 9.1% increase or \$863,221 based on the 2013 NorthWestern Energy Electrical Universal System Benefits Activities, 2009-2013 report.
- 3. Assuming these funds are donated to the state for weatherization, 121 additional homes would be weatherized. Weatherization with USB funds follows the US Department of Energy (DOE) rules and the DOE 2015 adjusted average expenditure per home weatherized is \$7,105.

4. Donated funds are received into a non-budgeted fund type and are shown as "other" in this fiscal note.

	FY 2016 Difference	FY 2017 Difference	FY 2018 Difference	FY 2019 Difference			
Fiscal Impact:							
Expenditures:							
Benefits/Claims	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
<b>Funding of Expenditures:</b>							
Other	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
			_				
Revenues:							
Other	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
TOTAL Revenues	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221	\$863,221			
	_	_	_				
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):							
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

# **Technical Notes:**

1. The utility company has complete discretion on how they elect to use the funds. If the company chose to use the funds differently, less funding or no funding may be passed through to the state and the fiscal impact would be adjusted accordingly.

		<u> </u>	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date